From:
 John Daniels

 To:
 SizewellC

 Subject:
 Sizewell C

Date: 12 October 2021 12:06:22

Dear Planning Inspectorate,

I am writing to you to register my opposition to the planned construction of Sizewell C Power Station.

As a supposed protected area of outstanding natural beauty, it is hard to imagine a more inappropriate place to site the proposed project. The upheaval that would be inflicted to the area is incalculable. With RSPB Minsmere next door to the site, with it's incredibly sensitive landscape and vast array of rare fauna and flora it seems incomprehensible that such a project could even be contemplated in the vicinity.

I know EDF have tried to convince people that all work would be carried out with the minimum disruption to wildlife and they would re plant trees etc, but they could never compensate for the irreversible damage that would certainly be done to the area.

The fact that it would take an estimated ten to twelve years to build is hard to contemplate, with the associated disruption on local roads and traffic, not to mention the pollution caused. It would be a nightmare for people living anywhere on route to and from the sight and in the local villages and towns for miles around.

Tourism, such an important part of Suffolk's income would be devastated. Who would want to holiday anywhere on or near our beautiful coastline with all that going on?

The cost of the project is estimated to be around £20 billion! These things are always underestimated and could easily spiral way above that figure. On top of that, it is thought by many that the whole project could even be rendered obsolete by the time it is completed! Other deep concerns are that it would be built in a place where coastal erosion is advancing at a record pace, coupled with ever rising sea levels.

I urge you to take great care in your consideration of this project and really hope that it will never go ahead, for the sake of all the wildlife and the people who are privileged to live in this beautiful part of Suffolk.

Yours sincerely,

John Daniels.